	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/532,956	BUSCH-PETERSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emily Bernhardt	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet with the of (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communicatio GHTS. This application is subject	oplication. If not included // in will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>5/4/07</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-3 and 5.		
3.	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER as reason(s) why the oath or declar of the submitted. con's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) s Amendment / Comment or in the .84(c)) should be written on the draw the header according to 37 CFR 1.121 sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	s national stage application from the complying with the requirements R'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ration is deficient. 0-948) attached Office action of rings in the front (not the back) of (d). must be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	5. Notice of Informal 6. Interview Summar Paper No./Mail Di 7. Examiner's Amend 8. Examiner's Statem 9. Other	y (PTO-413), ate

Application/Control Number: 10/532,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Applicants' amendments and remarks overcomes the 112 rejections of the previous action. The uses recited in claim 3 have been shown to be reasonably correlated to IL-8 inhibition based on the references of record and Hay newly cited by applicants. Note that Li and Matsukawa have been previously cited by the examiner. The 103 rejection is also withdrawn as the closest species to the one pointed out in Jin has been deleted from the claims. The sole species remaining in the claim differs in 2 respects from that exemplified in Jin and in the absence of any species directed to methylated piperazines as well as fluorinated phenyls, the examiner concurs that there is nothing in Jin particularly pointing to the structural makeup of the one species solely claimed herein.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

EMILY BERNHARDT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600

E Benliad!